



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/053,174	11/13/2001	Shell Simpson	10008135-1	6072	
7590 02/24/2006			EXAMINER		
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			POKRZYWA, JOSEPH R		
Intellectual Pro	operty Administration				
P.O. Box 272400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Fort Collins CO 80527-2400		2622			

DATE MAILED: 02/24/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/053,174	SIMPSON ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Joseph R. Pokrzywa	2625		

	Joseph R. Pokizywa	2025	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 01 February 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	OR ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complete following time periods: 	n the same day as filing a Notice o wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	f Appeal. To avoid ab ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing d			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advievent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	f the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on) and the appropriate exte	ncion foo have
been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any ensured Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.
AMENDMENTS			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO	f, will <u>not</u> be entered l TE below);	because
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bel appeal; and/or			the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		jected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): .	•	(, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 		, timely filed amendm	ent canceling
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ w vided below or appended.	vill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) rejected Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will <u>r</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered is necessary
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		-	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but of the reasons found in the attached Office action.			nce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s).	how
		Joseph R. Pokrzyw Primary Examiner	a ¹ /

Art Unit: 2625

Application/Control Number: 10/053,174 Page 2

Art Unit: 2625

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments filed 2/1/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 2. In response to applicant's arguments regarding the rejection of claim 1, which was cited in the Office action dated 12/5/05 as being anticipated by Gottfreid (U.S. Patent Number 6,076,076), whereby applicant argues on pages 7 and 8 that Gottfreid fails to teach of the limitations being "via at least one network service". As seen in Fig. 1, the user's remote computer 12 connects over the WAN to the host computer 14. Further, as read in column 4, lines 30-36, Gottfreid states that the "host computer 14 typically provides central banker, verification, and order status functions, and may provide for debiting of the prepaid print card (PPC) 10 or diskette 11." These functions of the host computer 14 can be considered as "services", therefore the host computer 14 can be considered as a network service, as currently recited in the claim language.
- Continuing, in response to applicant's arguments on pages 8 and 9 that Gottfreid fails to teach of printing the booklet on a network accessible printer designated by user input. As seen in Fig. 3, a user can designate a specific printer. Further, the user selects assorted criteria which are included in the print job, as seen in Fig. 4. This selection criteria is used to designate which printing device is used to print the job (either a web printer or a sheet printer). Therefore, Gottfreid can be interpreted as teaching of printing the booklet on a network accessible printer designated by user input.

Application/Control Number: 10/053,174

Art Unit: 2625

4. In response to applicant's arguments regarding the rejection of claim 10, which was cited in the Office action dated 12/5/05 as being anticipated by Gottfreid, whereby applicant argues on pages 9-11 that Gottfreid fails to teach of the features to "send content to a client device for execution by a client browser, with the content enabling the client device to..." As read in column 4, lines 65-67, Gottfreid states that "the application software and printer drivers are downloaded from the host 14 to the remote computer 12". This downloaded material can be considered as "content", which is sent to a client device for execution by a client browser.

Page 3

5. The examiner notes that each of the remaining arguments are similar to that which was discussed above, and will not be repeated. Therefore, the rejection of independent claim 1, as well as independent claims 10, 14, 18, 22, 23, and 25, as well as their corresponding dependent claims, which were cited in the Office action dated 12/5/05 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gottfreid, is maintained.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joe Pokrzywa whose telephone number is (571) 272-7410. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward L. Coles can be reached on (571) 272-7402. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/053,174

Art Unit: 2625

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Joseph R. Pokrzywa Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2625 Joseph R Phy

jrp